

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

---

RUMEYSA OZTURK, )  
Petitioner )  
v. )  
PATRICIA HYDE, et al., )  
Respondents. )  
)

Case No. 25-cv-10695-DJC

---

**ORDER**

**CASPER, J.**

**March 28, 2025**

The Court notes that Petitioner Rumeysa Ozturk (“Ozturk”) filed an Amended Petition and Complaint today, D. 12. Given the parties’ apparent dispute regarding this Court’s jurisdiction over the matter, D. 12 ¶¶ 6-7 (asserting that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction); D. 9 at 1 n.1 (suggesting grounds for a dispute regarding same), and given that a federal court retains jurisdiction to maintain the status quo while it determines its subject matter jurisdiction, *Brownback v. King*, 592 U.S. 209, 218-19 (2021) (quoting *United States v. Ruiz*, 536 U.S. 622, 628 (2002)); *United States v. United Mine Workers of Am.*, 330 U.S. 258, 293 (1947) (concluding that the district court “had the power to preserve existing conditions while it was determining its own authority to grant injunctive relief”); *see, e.g., Belbacha v. Bush*, 520 F.3d 452, 456 (D.C. Cir. 2008) (invoking the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, and noting that if a case presents a “substantial jurisdictional question . . . a district court may act to preserve its jurisdiction while it determines whether it has jurisdiction”), the Court ORDERS as follows:

1. To allow the Court's resolution of its jurisdiction to decide the Petition, Ozturk shall not be removed from the United States until further Order of this Court. See Mahmoud Khalil v. Joyce, No. 25-cv-01935-JMF at ECF No. 9, 2025 WL 750599 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 10, 2025) (ruling that “[t]o preserve the Court's jurisdiction pending a ruling on the petition, Petitioner shall not be removed from the United States unless and until the Court orders otherwise”); and
2. The Respondents have until 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, April 1, 2025, to respond to the Amended Petition and Complaint, D. 12.

**So Ordered.**

/s Denise J. Casper  
United States District Judge